NOAA Technical Memorandum NWS NHC 24



HURRICANE EXPERIENCE LEVELS OF COASTAL COUNTY POPULATIONS TEXAS TO MAINE

Paul J. Hebert, Glenn Taylor (Retired), and Robert A. Case NHC, Miami, Florida

National Hurricane Center Miami, Florida June 1984

QC 995 .U672 no.24



NOAA TECHNICAL MEMORANDA

NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE, NATIONAL HURRICANE CENTER SUBSERIES

The National Weather Service National Hurricane Center (NHC) subseries provides an informal medium for the documentation and quick dissemination of results not appropriate, or not yet ready, for formal publication. The series is used to report on work in progress, to describe technical procedures and practices, or to relate progress to a limited audience and hence will not be widely distributed.

Technical Memoranda originated at the National Hurricane Center prior to the establishment of this series are listed below. They were published as ESSA Technical Memoranda, Southern Region (SRTM); ESSA Technical Memoranda, WBTM; or NOAA Technical Memoranda, NWS.

Beginning with WBTM SR 38, the papers are available from the National Technical Information Service, U. S. Department of Commerce, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22151. Price \$2.75 per copy; \$1.45 microfiche. Order by accession number shown in parenthesis at end of each entry.

ESSA Technical Memoranda

- SRTM 28 The Weather Distribution with Upper Tropospheric Cold Lows in the Tropics.

 Neil L. Frank September 1966
- WBTM SR 38 Florida Hurricanes. Gordon E. Dunn and Staff NHC November 1967 (PB 182 220)
- WBTM SR 42 Memorable Hurricanes of the United States Since 1873. Arnold L. Sugg and Robert L. Carrodus January 1969 (PB 182 228)
- WBTM SR 44 Climatology of Atlantic Tropical Cyclones by Two and One-Half Degree Latitude-Longitude Boxes. John R. Hope and Charles J. Neumann - February 1969 (PB 183 308)
- WBTM SR 45 On the Maximum Sustained Winds Occurring in Atlantic Hurricanes. Charles Holliday –
 May 1969 (PB 184 609)
- WBTM SR 46 Hemispheric Circulation and Anomaly Patterns Observed When Tropical Storms Reach Hurricane Intensity. Paul J. Hebert, NHC and Banner I. Miller, NHRL May 1969 (PB 184 610)
- WBTM SR 47 Disturbances in the Tropical and Equatorial Atlantic. R. H. Simpson June 1969 (PB 184 740)
- WBTM SR 49 A Mean Storm Surge Profile. Arnold L. Sugg December 1969 (PB 188 422)
- WBTM SR 50 A Reassessment of the Hurricane Prediction Problem. Robert H. Simpson February 1970 (PB 189 846)
- WBTM SR 51 The Satellite Applications Section of the National Hurricane Center. R. H. Simpson and D. C. Gaby September 1970 (COM 71 00005)

NOAA Technical Memoranda NWS

- NWS SR 53 The Decision Process in Hurricane Forecasting. R. H. Simpson January 1971 (COM 71 00336)
- NWS SR 55 Digitized Atlantic Tropical Cyclone Tracks. John R. Hope and Charles J. Neumann February 1971 (COM 71 00984)
- NWS SR 56 Memorable Hurricanes of the United States Since 1873. Arnold Sugg, Leonard G. Pardue and Robert L. Carrodus April 1971 (COM 71 00610)
- NWS SR 58 Atlantic Hurricane Frequencies Along the U. S. Coastline. R. H. Simpson and Miles B. Lawrence June 1971 (COM 71 00796)
- NWS SR 62 An Alternate to the HURRAN (Hurricane Analog) Tropical Cyclone Forecast System. Charles J. Neumann - January 1972 (COM 72 10351)
- NWS SR 63 A Statistical Method of Combining Synoptic and Empirical Tropical Cyclone Prediction Systems. Charles J. Neumann, John R. Hope and Banner I. Miller - May 1972 (COM 72 10553)
- NWS SR 69 Statistical-Dynamical Prediction of Tropical Cyclone Motion. Charles J. Neumann and Miles B. Lawrence April 1973 (COM 73 10728)
- NWS SR 71 A Decision Procedure for Application in Predicting the Landfall of Hurricanes. R. H. Simpson and Brian R. Jarvinen August 1973 (COM 73-11663/AS)
- NWS SR 72 Objective Analysis of the Sea Surface Temperature. Brian R. Jarvinen August 1973 (COM 73-11643)
- NWS SR 81 The Effect of Initial Data Uncertainties on the Performance of Statistical Tropical Cyclone Prediction Models. Charles J. Neumann March 1975 (COM 75-10483/AS)
- NWS SR 82 A Statistical Study of Tropical Cyclone Positioning Errors with Economic Applications. Charles J. Neumann - March 1975 (COM 75-11362/AS)
- NWS SR 83 A Satellite Classification Technique for Subtropical Cyclones. Paul J. Hebert and Kenneth O. Poteat July 1975 (COM 75-11220/AS)
- NWS NHC! Annual Data and Verification Tabulation of Atlantic Tropical Cyclones 1974. John R. Hope and Staff, NHC January 1976 (PB285261/AS)
- NWS NHC 2 Annual Data and Verification Tabulation Atlantic Tropical Cyclones 1975. Paul J. Hebert and Staff, NHC January 1977 (PB285263/AS)
- NWS NHC 3 Intensification Criteria for Tropical Depressions in the Western North Atlantic. Paul J. Hebert April 1977 (PB285415/AS)
- NWS NHC 4 Annual Data and Verification Tabulation of Atlantic Tropical Cyclones 1976. Paul J. Hebert and Staff, NHC May 1977 (PB285262/AS)
- NWS NHC 5 Atlantic Tropical Cyclone Tracks by 5-, 10-, 15-, and 30-Day Periods. Brian J. Jarvinen and Charles J. Neumann May 1978 (PB284009/AS)

geggs ubje noisy

HURRICANE EXPERIENCE LEVELS OF COASTAL COUNTY POPULATIONS TEXAS TO MAINE

Paul J. Hebert, Glenn Taylor (Retired), and Robert A. Case NHC, Miami, Florida

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NOAA COASTAL SERVICES CENTER 2234 SOUTH HOBSON AVENUE CHARLESTON, SC 29405-2413

National Hurricane Center Miami, Florida June 1984

Property of CSC Library

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Malcolm Baldrige, Secretary

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration John V. Byrne, Administrator / National Weather Service Richard E. Hallgren, Director



CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract	. 1
Introduction	. 1
Data Sources	. 3
Purpose	. 3
Procedure	. 3
Discussion	. 8
Summary	. 17
Acknowledgements	. 17
References	. 18
Appendix A: The Saffir/Simpson Hurricane Scale	. 19
Appendix B: Individual Coastal County Hurricane Climatology/Population Graphs, Texas to Maine	. 21
Appendix C: A Tabular Hurricane Climatology by Counties, Texas to Maine, 1900-1982	. 118
LIST OF TABLES	
Table 1 Saffir/Simpson Hurricane Scale Ranges	. 2
Table 2 Coastal County Population by State, 1980, and at the Time of the Last Major Hurricane	. 4
Table 3 Examples of Hurricane Classifications on the Saffir/Simpson Hurricane Scale	. 6
Table 4a Number of Hurricanes (Direct Hits) affecting U.S. and Individual States 1900-1982 according to Saffir/Simpson Hurricane Scale	. 11
Table 4b Chronological List of All Hurricanes Which Affected the U.S. 1900-1982, Including Category by States	. 12
Table 5 - Coastal County Population by States (1980)	. 125

HURRICANE EXPERIENCE LEVELS OF COASTAL COUNTY POPULATIONS FROM TEXAS TO MAINE

by

Paul J. Hebert, Glenn Taylor (Retired), and Robert A. Case

(This publication updates the previous version by Hebert and Taylor, published in 1975.)

ABSTRACT

Population graphs for the period 1900-1980 have been prepared for coastal counties from Texas to Maine which could be affected significantly by hurricane winds and/or tides. The Saffir/Simpson Hurricane Scale (range 1-5) has been used to develop a hurricane climatology for each county for the period 1900-1982. The combined statistics graphically illustrate that nearly 80 percent of all Atlantic and Gulf coastal residents of the United States have never experienced the effects of a direct hit by a major hurricane.

INTRODUCTION

A series of hurricane workshops was conducted during the Spring of 1974 by Dr. Neil Frank, Director, National Hurricane Center (NHC), and his staff. The basic purpose of these workshops was to exchange ideas and information with National Weather Service officials representing all Gulf and Atlantic coastal stations and to discuss operational hurricane problems. Some of the materials prepared for the workshops are the basis for this paper.

Population statistics indicate a continued trend in recent years of rapid population increases along Atlantic and Gulf coastal areas. This trend, along with the relatively low frequency of hurricanes and low hurricane experience level of nearly 32 million coastal residents, has become an item of major concern at the National Hurricane Center.

¹See Table 1 and Appendix A.

²A major hurricane is in category 3, 4 or 5 on the Saffir/Simpson Hurricane Scale, and is comparable to a Great Hurricane in several other referenced publications.

Table 1. Saffir/Simpson Hurricane Scale Ranges

Scale	Central F	ressure	Winds	Surge	Damage
Number (Category)	Millibars	Inches	(Mph)	(Ft.)	
1	> 980	<u>></u> 28.94	74 - 95	4 - 5	Minimal
2	965 - 979	28.50 - 28.91	96 - 110	6 - 8	Moderate
3	945 - 964	27.91 - 28.47	111 - 130	9 - 12	Extensive
4	920 - 944	27.17 - 27.88	131 - 155	13 - 18	Extreme
5	< 920	< 27.17	> 155	> 18	Catastrophic

 \sim

DATA SOURCES

Population statistics were obtained from the U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, publications. Hurricane information was obtained by checking conventional data sources plus available materials in NHC files and some material from local station files.

PURPOSE

The primary purpose of this study is to illustrate the increase in Gulf and Atlantic coastal populations in recent years and to indicate the low hurricane experience level of a large majority of these coastal residents. While many people have experienced fringe conditions of a major hurricane or the direct effects of a weaker hurricane, it is pointed out that a relatively small percentage of the coastal population have experienced a direct hit by a major hurricane.

It is hoped that the information in this paper will help coastal residents and disaster preparedness groups to substitute education for hurricane experience. A simple comparison of numbers (Saffir/Simpson Hurricane Scale Numbers 1-5) relating hurricanes of recent experience to major or historical hurricanes of the past has been found to be most effective by NHC personnel in addressing various groups concerning hurricane disaster potential.

PROCEDURE

Population statistics for each coastal county from Texas to Maine were obtained from the U. S. Bureau of the Census publications for the period 1900-1980. This information was plotted on individual graphs for each county, 175 in all. A hurricane climatology, described below, was entered along the bottom of each graph indicating the year and severity of each hurricane affecting the county from 1900 through 1982* The complete collection of county graphs is included as Appendix B.

A tabulation was made for each Gulf and Atlantic coastal state listing coastal county populations in 1980 and at the time of the last major hurricane (Scale numbers 3-5) since 1900. This is illustrated in Table 2. The population differences were listed for each state and percentages calculated giving an indication of hurricane experience levels for Gulf and Atlantic coastal residents.

^{*}No hurricanes hit the Gulf or Atlantic coastal counties during the years 1981 and 1982.

Table 2. Coastal county population by state showing percentage of residents who have never experienced a direct hit by a major hurricane (≥ 3 on Saffir/Simpson Hurricane Scale).

% OF 1980 TOTAL
58.2% 27.3%
43.2% 1.5%
84.8% 100.0% 33.5%
27.6% 97.7%
100.0% 100.0%
100.0% 93.7%
42.7% 13.5%
68.4% 100.0% 100.0%

 $^{^1\}mathrm{State}$ totals are based on individual county populations at time of last major hurricane since 1900 (different years).

 $^{^2\}mathrm{Significant}$ changes in Texas, Florida, New York, and Massachusetts from a preliminary version of this table are a result of a more detailed study of individual counties.

A hurricane climatology, based upon the Saffir/Simpson Hurricane Scale (with atmospheric pressure ranges adapted), was prepared for the 83-year period 1900-1982 based on the following guidelines:

1. Scale numbers (1-5), as indicated in Table 1, were assigned to hurricanes primarily based on estimated central pressure values at the time of landfall. A certain amount of subjectivity is inherent in this type of classification, particularly with hurricanes during earlier years and with those moving inland in sparsely-settled areas. In view of this, some hurricanes near the borderline between two scale numbers might be classified one way or the other based on various considerations, such as storm surge.

It should be pointed out that flooding from excessive rainfall during the life of a hurricane was not a criterion in selecting scale numbers. Hurricanes DIANE 1955 and AGNES 1972 for example, relatively weak hurricanes, were disastrous flood-makers and resulted in widespread flood damage in several states; however, based on central pressures at the time of landfall, both hurricanes were in category 1.

In some cases, hurricanes traversing a long path across many states may change scale numbers one or more times before dissipating. A good example of this is Hurricane DONNA of 1960, which changed from category 4 all the way down to category 1 during its journey between Florida and Maine (see Table 3).

Examples of hurricanes in each category of the Saffir/Simpson Hurricane Scale are listed in Table 3. The five most recent hurricanes are listed for categories 1-3 for Florida and the remainder of the Atlantic and Gulf coasts. Three additional dates are indicated for category 3 on the Atlantic coast in order to include the hurricanes of 1938 and 1944, memorable storms for that region. The hurricanes listed for scale numbers 4 and 5 are totals for the 83-year period 1900-1982, (NOTE: Prior to 1950, names were not used in inclusive. connection with hurricanes. For three years, 1950-1952, the phonetic alphabet was used for naming hurricanes, e.g., ABLE, BAKER, CHARLIE, etc... Female names were used for naming hurricanes from 1953 to 1978. Since 1979 alternating male/female names have been used.)

2. After each hurricane had been assigned a scale number, all coastal counties from Texas to Maine were examined to determine which counties received direct hits and which received indirect hits by hurricanes near to, or crossing the coast since 1900. In addition to hurricanes which have occurred since 1974 (last year of the previous version), a few hurricanes have been added, or categories of others changed, based on additional information.

Table 3. - Examples of Hurricane Classification on the Saffir/Simpson Hurricane Scale

Category	Guli	f Coas	t	Flo	rida		Atlant	tic Coa	st
1	BOB BABE FERN CINDY ETHEL	1979 1977 1971 1963 1960	(LA) (LA) (TX) (TX) (MS)	AGNES INEZ FLOSSY FLORENCE	1972 1966 1956 1953 1947	(NW) (Keys) (NW) (NW) (Keys, SE)	BELLE AGNES GINGER GERDA DONNA	1976 1972 1971 1969 1960	(NY) (NY, CT) (NC) (ME) (MA,NH,ME)
2	EDITH FLOSSY	1971 1956 1949 1945 1943	(LA) (LA) (TX) (TX) (TX)	DAVID GLADYS ALMA ISBELL CLEO	1979 1968 1966 1964 1964	(SE,NE) (NW) (NW) (SW) (SE)	DAVID DONNA CAROL HAZEL	1979 1960 1954 1954 1947	(GA,SC) (CT, RI) (NC) (MD) (GA, SC)
3	ALLEN FREDERIC CARMEN CELIA BEULAH	1980 1979 1974 1970 1967	(TX) (AL,MS) (LA) (TX) (TX)	ELOISE BETSY DONNA EASY KING	1975 1965 1960 1950 1950	(NW) (Keys) (SW) (W-CNTRL) (SE)	DONNA GRACIE CONNIE IONE CAROL EDNA	1960 1959 1955 1955 1954 1954 1944	(NC, NY) (SC) (NC) (NC) (NY, CT, RI) (MA) (NC, VA, NY, CT, RI) (NY, CT, RI, MA)
4	CARLA AUDREY	1961 1957 1932 1919 1915 1915 1909 1900	(TX) (LA) (TX) (TX) (LA) (TX) (LA) (TX)	DONNA	1960 1947 1928 1926 1919	(Keys) (SE) (SE, Lake Okeechobee) (SE) (Keys)	HAZEL	1954	(SC, NC)
5	CAMILLE	1969	(MS)		"La	(Keys - abor Day torm")			NONE

σ

As with the assignment of scale numbers, a certain amount of subjectivity was inescapable at times in determining which counties received direct or indirect hits during the various hurricane situations. However, certain arbitrary guidelines for these classifications were used as indicated below:

Direct Hit - When the innermost core regions, or "eye", moved over a county, it was counted as a direct hit. Using "R" as the radius of maximum winds in a hurricane (the distance in miles from the storm's center to the circle of maximum winds around the center), all or parts of counties falling within approximately 2R to the right and R to the left of a storm's landfall point were considered to have received direct hits. (This assumes an observer at sea looking toward shore.) On the average, this direct hit zone extended about 50 miles along the coastline (R≈15 miles). Of course, some hurricanes were smaller than this and some, particularly in higher latitudes, were much larger. Cases were judged individually, and many borderline situations had to be resolved.

Indirect Hit - These were based primarily on a hurricane's strength and size and on the configuration of the individual county coastline. Here again, much subjectivity was necessary in many cases which were complicated by storm paths and geography. Generally, those areas on either side of the direct hit zone which received hurricane force winds and/or tides of 4 to 5 feet or more above normal were considered to be indirect hits.

The complete hurricane climatology, 1900-1982, for all coastal counties from Texas to Maine is included in tabular form as Appendix C. It is comprised of a series of five pages counties listed in approximate geographical order from the lower Texas coast to the upper coast of Maine.

The procedures described above comprise the main thrust of this paper.

Several other graphs and tables were prepared, using the same basic information as follows:

- 1) Appendix A illustrates the Saffir/Simpson Hurricane scale.
- 2) Tables 4a and 4b were prepared in the process of developing the Saffir/Simpson Hurricane scale climatology. Comments concerning all tables are included in the following section.

DISCUSSION

The purpose of this statistical summary is to graphically demonstrate the low hurricane experience level of most U.S. coastal residents. The 175 county graphs in Appendix B are considered to be the primary data presented. Almost all of the data presented in the other Appendixes and Tables are contained within these graphs. However, while it may appear redundant in some instances, the data have been presented in these forms to allow for an easier statistical interpretation on a county, state and national basis. Some of this interpretation has been included briefly in the sections under Procedures and the forewords of the Appendixes, and to point out some of the more significant facts which can be inferred.

Reference Table 1. An important point here is that the central pressure ranges will agree quite well with the wind ranges, but that the surge is strongly dependent on the slope of the continental shelf (shoaling factor). This can change the height of the surge by a factor of two for any given scale number.

Reference Table 2. This table was designed as a general illustration of population increases in Gulf and Atlantic coastal states since the last direct hit by a major hurricane. It should be emphasized that the population figures refer to coastal sections only for each state and are a summation of individual coastal county population values. Population totals at the time of the last major hurricane in each state since 1900

are for different years. No entry for a particular state indicates that there have been no direct hits by major hurricanes since 1900.

Combined population increases since the last major hurricane for each area indicate that over 31 million people along the Gulf and Atlantic coasts have never experienced a direct hit by a major hurricane. This is over 78% of the Gulf and Atlantic coastal residents of the United States. Six states have not had a single direct hit by a major hurricane in this century, while almost 85% of the coastal population of Florida - the most hurricane prone state - have never experienced a direct hit by a major hurricane

The main point to be made here (and throughout this paper) is that most of the people who go through hurricanes experience either a relatively weak hurricane (categories 1 and 2), or an indirect hit (fringe conditions) by a major hurricane. Generally less than 25% have actually felt the most intense central core, creating a sense of false security for 75% or more of the "experienced" coastal residents during the next major hurricane situation.

Considering the growth rate of most coastal counties, as indicated in the graphs in Appendix B, it is felt that the figures presented are conservative. In the eight years since 1975, the three major hurricanes to strike the U.S. (Eloise 1975, Frederic 1979, Allen 1980) hit in areas containing relatively few people.

Reference Table 3. As indicated in Table 1, the terms "Scale Number" and "Category" are used interchangeably. In addition to DONNA 1960, Table 3 also shows several other hurricanes which affected different areas with different scale numbers (e.g., HAZEL 1954, CAROL 1954), or the same scale number (e.g., BETSY 1965, 1919).

It will be noted that only two category 5 hurricanes have affected the U. S. coastline this century - the "Labor Day Storm" of 1935 in the Florida Keys, and Hurricane Camille of 1969 on the Mississippi/Louisiana coast. Of the 13 hurricanes listed in category 4, only one (HAZEL 1954) affected the Atlantic coast north of Florida. (In 1919, the same category 4 hurricane affected the Florida Keys and Texas.)

Reference Table 4a. Many hurricanes affect more than one state (reference Table 3). In addition, Florida and Texas have been sub-divided into sections because of their extensive coastlines. In Florida, the north-south dividing line is roughly from Cape Canaveral to Tarpon Springs. In Texas, south is roughly from

Brownsville to Corpus Christi, central is from north of Corpus Christi to Matagorda Bay, and north is from north of Matagorda Bay to the Louisiana border. As a result, entries in Table 4a may be made more than once for the same hurricane. In other words, Florida and Texas sectional totals may not equal state totals, and state totals cannot be summed to get regional or national totals. However, the first line in the table is an actual count of all hurricanes which have affected the United States, where only the highest category of any state affected has been tabulated. This total indicates that 136 hurricanes have affected the U. S. coast during the period 1900-1982. Of this total, 55 or about 40% were major hurricanes.

While it has been stated that a direct hit by a major hurricane in any one locality is a rare event, the sobering statistics of the top line in Table 4a illustrate that on the average so far this century: 1) two major hurricanes (capable of causing damage in the billions of dollars and killing hundreds) cross the U. S. coast somewhere every three years; 2) a category 4 hurricane crosses the U. S. coastline somewhere nearly once every six years.

This table gives a quick reference to the hurricane climatology of individual states. The table reveals that 38% of all hurricanes hit Florida. A few other noteworthy statistics are that Florida and Texas combined have been hit by nearly 75% of category 4 or higher hurricanes, and that approximately one out of every two hurricanes is a major one along the middle Gulf coast, southern Florida, and New York and southern New England.

Reference Table 4b. This table is a chronological list of all 136 hurricanes including categories by states. Also included in the table is a list of estimated central pressures at the time of landfall for the highest U. S. category. Pressure values are not available for several earlier years and a few hurricanes in recent years which moved inland in sparsely settled areas. By comparing the central pressure of a given hurricane to the range of pressures for each scale number, it is possible to see how close that hurricane came to falling into a higher or lower category. In addition, the effect of extreme forward speed (indicated by an asterisk beside a number), as for most hurricanes north of Cape Hatteras, must be considered.

Reference Table 5. This table gives the coastal county population in exact numbers (1980) rather than having to extract the figure from the graphs in Appendix B.

Reference Appendix A. This scale has been referred to as the Simpson Disaster Potential Scale in some earlier publications.

Table 4a. Number of Hurricanes (Direct Hits)
Affecting U. S. and Individual States
1900 - 1982 according to Saffir/Simpson
Hurricane Scale.

AREA	1	2	CATEGORY NI 3	JMBER 4	5	ALL	MAJOR HURRICANES (>3)
U.S. (Texas to Maine)	48	33	40	13	2	136	55
Texas (North) (Central) (South) Louisiana Mississippi Alabama Florida (Northwest) (Northeast) (Southwest) (Southeast) Georgia South Carolina North Carolina Virginia Maryland Delaware New Jersey New York Connecticut Rhode Island Massachusetts New Hampshire Maine	942351469154159100* 32021*	932451 14673104431* 0001***	8215744550570261*0004****00	6 4 1 1 3 0 0 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	32 13 6 13 21 7 9 51 20 8 16 24 5 12 19 3 1* 7 6 4* 5 1* 4	14 6 2 6 11 5 4 21 5 0 8 10 0 3 7 1* 0 0 0 4* 3* 3* 2* 0

Note: State totals will not equal U. S. totals and Texas and Florida sectional totals will not equal state totals.

 $[\]star$ Indicates all hurricanes in this category were moving greater than 30 mph.

Table 4b. - Chronological List of All Hurricanes Which Affected the U. S. 1900 - 1982 Including Category by States.

Year	Month	States Affected and Category by States	Highest Category U. S.	Minimum Sea Level Pressure (Mb.)
1900	Sep	TX, 4N	4	931
1901	Jul	NC, 1	1 2 2 1	900
1901	Aug	LA, MS 2	2	972
1903	Sep	FL, 2SE, 1NW	2	976
1903	Sep	NJ, NY, CT, 1	1	990
1904	Sep	SC, 1	ĺ	5 5
1906	Jun	FL, 1SE	1 3 2 1 3 2 4 3 2 3 1 2 1	
1906	Sep	SC, NC, 3	3	947
1906	Sep	MS, AL, 3	3	958
1906	Oct	FL, 2SE	2	967
1908	Jul	NC, 1	1	•••
1909	Jul	TX, 3N	3	958
1909	Aug	TX, 2S	2	-
1909	Sep	LA, 4	4	931
1909	Oct	FL, 3SE (Keys)	3	957
1910 1910	Sep	TX, 2S	2	965
1910	Oct	FL, 3SW	3	955
1911	Aug Aug	FL, 1NW; AL, 1 GA, SC, 2	1	100
1912	Sep	AL, 1	۷ 1	c a
1912	Oct	TX, 1S	<u>i</u> 1	==
1913	Jun	TX, 1S	1	wa
1913	Sep	NC, 1	1 1	90
1915	Aug	TX, 4N	4	945
1915	Sep	FL, 1NW	1	945 988
1915	Sep	LA, 4		931
1916	Jul	MS, AL, 3	3	948
1916	Jul	MA, 1	4 3 1	540 ∞
1916	Jul	SC, 1	1	980
1916	Aug	TX, 3S	÷	948
1916	Oct	AL, 2; FL, 2NW	2	972
1916	Nov	FL, 1SW (Keys)	1	J 1 6ac aac
1917	Sep	FL, 3NW	3	958
1918	Aug	LA, 3	1 3 2 1 3 3 4 2 1 2 3	955
1919	Sep	FL, 4SW (Keys); TX, 4S	4	927
1920	Sep	LA, 2	2	975
1920	Sep	NC, 1	1	_
1921	Jun	TX, 2C	2	979
1921	0ct	FL, 3SW, 2NE	3	952

Table 4b. (Cont'd.)

Year	Month	States Affected and Category by States	Highest Category U. S.	Minimum Sea Level Pressure (Mb.)
1923	0ct	LA, 1	1	985
1924	Sep	FL, 1NW	1	985
1924	0ct	FL, 1SW	ī	980
1925	Nov	FL, 1SW	1 1 1 2 3 4	-
1926	Jul	FL, 2NE	2	967
1926	Aug	LA, 3	3	955
1926	Sep	FL, 4SE, 3SW, 3NW	4	935
1320	ocp	AL, 3	7	555
1928	Aug	FL, 2SE	2	_
1928	Sep	FL, 4SE, 2NE	2 4	929
1,10	CCP	GA, SC, 1	*	323
1929	Jun	TX, 1C	1	982
1929	Sep	FL, 3SE, 2NW	3	948
1932	Aug	TX, 4N	1 3 4 1	941
1932	Sep	AL, 1	1	979
1933	Jul/	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	_	373
1500	Aug	FL, 1SE; TX, 2S	2	975
1933	Aug	NC, VA, 2	2	971
1933	Sep	TX, 3S	3	949
1933	Sep	FL, 3SE	3	948
1933	Sep	NC, 3	3	957
1934	Jun	LA, 3	3	962
1934	Jul	TX, 2S	2·	975
1935	Sep	FL, 5SW (Keys), 2NW	<u> </u>	892
1935	Nov	FL, 2SE	2	973
1936	Jun	TX, 1S	1	987
1936	Jul	FL, 3NW	3	964
1936	Sep	NC, 2	2	-
1938	Aug	LA, 1	1	985
1938	Sep	NY, CT, RI, MA, 3*	2 2 3 3 3 2 5 2 1 3 2 1 2 2 2 3	946
1939	Aug	FL, 1SE, 1NW	1	985
1940	Aug	TX, 2N, LA, 2	2	972
1940	Aug	GA, SC, 2	2	970
1941	Sep	TX, 3N	2	958
1941	Oct	FL, 2SE, 2SW, 2NW	_	975
1942	Aug	TX, 1N	1	992
1942	Aug	TX, 3C	2 1 3 2 1	, 950
1943	Jul	TX, 2N	2	969
1944	Aug	NC, 1	1	990
1944	Sep	NC, VA, NY, CT, RI, 3*	1	330
±277	Jeh	MA, 2*	3*	947
1944	0ct	FL, 3SW, 2NE	3	962
1 3777	500	: L 9 3341 9 EITE	5	302

Table 4b. (Cont'd.)

Year	Month	States Affected and Category by States	Highest Category U. S.	Minimum Sea Level Pressure (Mb.)
1945 1945 1945 1946 1947 1947 1948 1948 1948 1949 1949 1950 1950	Jun Aug Sep Oct Aug Sep Oct Sep Oct Sep Aug Aug Sep Oct Aug Oct Oct Oct	FL, 1NW TX, 2C FL, 3SE FL, 1SW TX, 1N FL, 4SE, 2SW; MS, LA, 3 FL, 1SE; GA, SC, 2 LA, 1 FL, 3SW, 2SE FL, 2SE NC, 1 FL, 3SE TX, 2N AL, 1 FL, 3NW FL, 3SE	1 2 3 1 1 4 2 1 3 2 1 3 2 1 3 1 3	985 967 951 980 992 940 974 987 963 975 980 954 972 980 958
1952 1953 1953	Aug Aug Sep	SC, 1 NC, 1 ME, 1*	1 1*	985 987 -
1953 1954 1954 1954	Sep Aug Sep Oct	FL, 1NW NC, 2; NY, CT, RI, 3* MA, 3*; ME, 1* SC, NC, 4*; MD, 2*	1 3* 3* 4*	985 960 954 938
1955 1955 1955 1956 1957	Aug Aug Sep Sep Jun	NC, 3; VA, 1 NC, 1 NC, 3 LA, 2; FL, 1NW TX, 4N; LA, 4	3 1 3 2 4	962 987 960 975 945
1959 1959 1959 1960 1960	Jul Jul Sep Sep Sep	TX, 1N SC, 1 SC, 3 MS, 1 FL, 4SW (Keys), 2NE; NC, NY, 3*; CT, RI, 2*; MA, N	1 1 3 1 4	984 993 950 981 930
1961 1963 1964 1964 1964	Sep Sep Aug Sep Oct	ME, 1* TX, 4C TX, 1N FL, 2SE FL, 2NE LA, 3	4 1 2 2 3	931 996 968 966 950

Table 4b. (Cont'd.)

Year	Month	States Affected and Category by States	Highest Category U.S.	Minimum Sea Level Pressure (Mb.)
1964	0ct	FL, 2SW, 2SE	2	974
1965	Sep	FL, 3SE; LA, 3	3	948
1966	Jun	FL, 2NW	2	982
1966	0ct	FL, 1SW, (Keys)	1	983
1967	Sep	TX, 3S	3	950
1968	0ct	FL, 2NW, 1NE	2 3 2 1 3 2 5	977
1969	Aug	LA, MS, 5	5	909
1969	Sep	ME, 1	1 3	980
1970	Aug	TX, 3S	3	945
1971	Sep	LA, 2	2 1	978
1971	Sep	TX, 1C	1	979
1971	Sep	NC, 1	1	993
1972	Jun	FL, 1NW;		
		NY, CT, 1	1	980
1974	Sep	LA, 3	1 3 3	952
1975	Sep	FL, 3NW	3	955
1976	Aug	NY, 1	1	980
1977	Sep	LA, 1	1	995
1979	Jul	LA, 1	1	986
1979	Sep	FL, 2SE, 2NE; GA, 2; SC,	2 2	970
1979	Sep	AL, MS, 3	1 2 2 3 3	946
1980	Aug	TX, 3S	3	945

^{*} No hurricanes struck the U.S. coastline in 1981 or 1982.

Reference Appendix B. A note of caution is needed to avoid misinterpretation of these graphs. Because of the different population ranges from graph to graph, the total increase in a county with a large population but relatively slow growth rate may be larger than a more sparsely populated county with a rapid growth rate. One other point - if the core (direct hit) of a major hurricane affected only a sparsely populated section of a heavily populated county (e.g., Dade County, Florida - BETSY 1965), it was considered to be an indirect hit in these graphs, but that portion directly affected was included in Table 2.

While these graphs give a complete hurricane climatology on a county-by-county basis, it would be quite difficult to determine how the individual hurricanes affected larger areas if one had to compare county graphs. Appendix C has been prepared to readily supply this information.

Reference Appendix C. This appendix has been designed so that each page is a geographical area likely to be affected solely by a given hurricane. However, pages can be combined into a single, continuous display for the entire Gulf and Atlantic coasts.

With the data from the individual graphs of Appendix B combined in this form, many facts can be derived easily on a county, state, or regional basis. For example, an idea of the <u>size</u> of a hurricane can be obtained by the number of counties affected (although tracks relative to geographical configurations can be misleading in a few instances). Also, one can readily count how many direct or indirect hits of any category have occurred, or how long it has been between any hurricanes, or those of a particular category.

SUMMARY

Populations continue to increase along most sections of the Gulf and Atlantic coasts of the United States. This trend, along with the relatively low frequency of hurricanes in recent years and low hurricane experience levels of some 31 million coastal residents, is an item of major concern at the National Hurricane Center. It is hoped that this report will help to some degree in substituting education for hurricane experience.

When a hurricane crosses the coast, many persons feel its effects; however, only a small percentage of the coastal residents experience a direct hit by its intense inner core, the major death and damage producer of the hurricane. Most residents experience indirect hits, or fringe effects, during hurricane situations (or direct hits, by relatively weak hurricanes - categories 1 and 2) and can be lulled into a false sense of security by feeling that they have experienced the worst part. In view of this, the disaster potential of subsequent hurricane situations might be inaccurately assessed by many coastal residents.

While the increase in coastal populations is alarming, it is felt that the figures presented in this report are conservative. Since 1980, unofficial estimates indicate that most Gulf and Atlantic coastal populations have continued to increase. In addition, these population statistics are for permanent residents and do not take into account summer tourism which may increase some county population totals tenfold during weekends or holidays. Another major concern, not discussed in this report, is that many thousands of the coastal county residents live in mobile homes which are extremely vulnerable to hurricanes of any category.

Acknowledgements. Dr. Neil Frank, Director, NHC, conceived the idea of combining population graphs and hurricane climatology and suggested the preparation of this report. Ms. Joan David updated all of the drafting material previously done by Ms. Mary Watson, and Ms. Gayle Shickel did the revised typing.

REFERENCES

- Cry, G.W., 1965: "Tropical Cyclones of the North Atlantic", <u>Technical Paper, No. 55</u>, U. S. Weather Bureau, Washington D.C., 148 pp.
- Dunn, G.E., and B.I. Miller, 1964: "Atlantic Hurricanes", Revised Edition, Louisiana State University Press, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, 377 pp.
- Kraft, R.H.: "Great Hurricanes, 1955-1965", Mariners Weather Log, Vol. 19, No. 6, Nov. 1966, pp. 200-202.
- Ludlum, D.M., 1963: "Early American Hurricanes 1492-1870", American Meteorological Society, Boston, Massachusetts, 198 pp.
- Simpson, R.H., and M.B. Lawrence, 1971: "Atlantic Hurricane Frequencies Along the U.S. Coastline", NOAA Technical Memorandum NWS SR-58, U.S. Department of Commerce, National Weather Service, Southern Region Headquarters, Fort Worth, Texas, 14 pp.
- Sugg, A.L., L.G. Pardue, and R.L. Carrodus, 1971: "Memorable Hurricanes of the United States Since 1873", NOAA Technical Memorandum NWS SR-56, U. S. Department of Commerce, National Weather Service, Southern Region Headquarter, Fort Worth, Texas, 52 pp.
- Tannehill, I.R., 1956: "Hurricanes", 9th Edition, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 308 pp.
- U. S. Department of Commerce, 1970: "Census '80", <u>Bureau of</u> the Census Pamphlets (18 States Population Statistics for Earlier Years), Washington, D.C.
- U. S. Department of Commerce, 1971: "1970 Census of Population, Number of Inhabitants Texas, etc...", Final Report PC(1)-A45 Texas, etc... (18 States), U. S. Bureau of the Census, Washington, D.C.
- U. S. Department of Commerce, 1980: "Census of Population and Housing", Advance Reports (18 States), U. S. Bureau of the Census, Washington, D.C.

APPENDIX A

THE SAFFIR/SIMPSON³ HURRICANE SCALE

The Saffir/Simpson Hurricane Scale is used by the National Weather Service to give public safety officials a continuing assessment of the potential for wind and storm-surge damage from a hurricane in progress. Scale numbers are made available to public-safety officials when a hurricane is within 72 hours of landfall.

Scale numbers range from 1 to 5. Scale No. 1 begins with hurricanes in which the maximum sustained winds are at least 74 miles per hour, or will produce a storm surge 4 to 5 feet above normal water level, while Scale No. 5 applies to those in which the maximum sustained winds are more than 155 miles per hour, or has the potential of producing a storm surge more than 18 feet above normal.

Dr. Neil Frank, present NHC Director, has adapted atmospheric pressure ranges to the Saffir/Simpson Scale. These pressure ranges, along with a numerical break-down of wind and storm surge ranges, are listed in Table 1.

The Weather Service emphasizes that the scale numbers are not forecasts, but are based on observed conditions at a given time in a hurricane's lifespan. They represent an estimate of what the storm would do to a coastal area if it were to strike without change in size or strength. Scale assessments are revised regularly as new observations are made, and public-safety organizations are kept informed of new estimates of the hurricane's disaster potential.

The Saffir/Simpson Hurricane Scale indicates probable property damage and evacuation recommendations as listed below:

Scale No. 1 - Winds of 74 to 95 miles per hour. Damage primarily to shrubbery, trees, foliage and unanchored mobile homes. No real damage to other structures. Some damage to poorly constructed signs. And/or: storm surge 4 to 5 feet above normal. Lowlying coastal roads inundated, minor pier damage, some small craft in exposed anchorage torn from moorings.

³Developed by Herbert Saffir, Dade County, Florida, Consulting Engineer, and Dr. Robert H. Simpson, former National Hurricane Center Director.

Scale No. 2 - Winds of 96 to 110 miles per hour. Considerable damage to shrubbery and tree foliage, some trees blown down. Major damage to exposed mobile homes. Extensive damage to poorly constructed signs. Some damage to roofing materials of buildings; some window and door damage. No major damage to buildings. And/or: storm surge 6 to 8 feet above normal. Coastal roads and low-lying escape routes inland cut by rising water 2 to 4 hours before arrival of hurricane center. Considerable damage to piers. Marinas flooded. Small craft in unprotected anchorages torn from moorings. Evacuation of some shoreline residences and low-lying island areas required.

Scale No. 3 - Winds of 111 to 130 miles per hour. Foliage torn from trees, large trees blown down. Practically all poorly constructed signs blown down. Some damage to roofing materials of buildings; some window and door damage. Some structural damage to small buildings. Mobile homes destroyed. And/or: storm surge 9 to 12 feet above normal. Serious flooding at coast and many smaller structures near coast destroyed; larger structures near coast damaged by battering waves and floating debris. Low-lying escape routes inland cut by rising water 3 to 5 hours before hurricane center arrives. Flat terrain 5 feet or less above sea level flooded inland 8 miles or more. Evacuation of low-lying residences within several blocks of shoreline possibly required.

Scale No. 4 - Winds of 131 to 155 miles per hour. Shrubs and trees blown down, all signs down. Extensive damage to roofing materials, windows and doors. Complete failure of roof on many small residences. Complete destruction of mobile homes. And/or: storm surge 13 to 18 feet above normal. Flat terrain 10 feet or less above sea level flooded inland as far as 6 miles. Major damage to lower floors of structures near shore due to flooding and battering by waves and floating debris. Low-lying escape routes inland cut by rising water 3 to 5 hours before hurricane center arrives. Major erosion of beaches. Massive evacuation of all residences within 500 yards of shore possibly required, and of single-story residences on low ground within 2 miles of shore.

Scale No. 5 - Winds greater than 155 miles per hour. Shrubs and trees blown down, considerable damage to roofs of buildings; all signs down. Very severe and extensive damage to windows and doors. Complete failure of roofs on many residences and industrial buildings. Extensive shattering of glass in windows and doors. Some complete building failures. Small buildings overturned or blown away. Complete destruction of mobile homes. And/or: storm surge greater than 18 feet above normal. Major damage to lower floors of all structures less than 15 feet above sea level within 500 yards of shore. Low-lying escape routes inland cut by rising water 3 to 5 hours before hurricane center arrives. Massive evacuation of residential areas on low ground within 5 to 10 miles of shore possibly required.

APPENDIX B

INDIVIDUAL COASTAL COUNTY HURRICANE CLIMATOLOGY/POPULATION GRAPHS, TEXAS TO MAINE

The set of population graphs in this appendix illustrates population trends along the Gulf and Atlantic coasts of the United States during the period 1900-1980. Indications are that this increasing trend in coastal populations has continued into 1983 in most areas; however, no estimates are included here. Assuming this to be the case, hurricane experience levels and the disaster potential for many areas are even more critical than indicated in the following county climatology/population graphs.


Hurricane climatology along the bottom of each graph is indicated by arrows and Saffir/Simpson Scale numbers for the period 1900-1982. Each hurricane is represented by either a solid or a dashed arrow along with the appropriate scale number and is entered at the year of occurrence. Solid arrows indicate direct hits, and dashed arrows denote indirect hits. For direct hits of category 3 hurricanes or higher, a vertical dashed line has been inserted between the arrowhead and the population curve. This gives a convenient, quick reference to the number and frequency of direct hits in each county by major hurricanes (scale numbers 3, 4 and 5) since 1900.

The key to symbols used in connection with hurricane climatology along the bottom of each graph, along with examples, is shown below.

Key for Symbols used in Hurricane Climatology

(NOTE: Dual symbols were needed when using scale numbers in tabular form, without arrows, such as in Appendix C.)

side, respectively.)



Examples (Symbols used in Hurricane Climatology/Population Graphs)

1

- Direct Hit by a Category 2 Hurricane

5---

- Indirect Hit (or fringe hit) by a Category 2 Hurricane



 Direct Hit by an inland or exiting (moving from land to water) Category 3 Hurricane

CAROL *3

- Direct Hit by Hurricane Carol, Category 3, moving 30 mph or greater



 Two direct or indirect hits in the same year, with the Category 3 hurricane occurring first. (If a direct and indirect hit both occurred in the same year, they were offset slightly and plotted adjacent to one another.)



- Direct Hit by a Category 4 Hurricane in the eastern part of Monroe County, Florida. (Note: W indicates the western part of Monroe County. No letter designation indicates the entire county was affected. This notation is used <u>only</u> in Monroe County - the Florida Keys - because of geographical configurations and hurricane frequencies.)

NOTE: Names of hurricanes are entered beside arrows 1950 - present.

INDEX OF INDIVIDUAL COASTAL COUNTY GRAPHS

(NOTE: The 175 graphs in this appendix are arranged in approximate geographical order from the lower Texas coast to the upper coast of Maine. Major cities or well-known locations are indicated for some counties.)

1. TEXAS (17)

Cameron (Brownsville), Willacy, Kenedy, Kleberg, Nueces (Corpus Christi), San Patricio, Aransas, Refugio, Calhoun (Port O'Connor), Jackson, Matagorda, Brazoria, Galveston (Galveston), Harris (Houston), Chambers, Jefferson (Port Arthur, Beaumont), Orange.

2. LOUISIANA (11)

Cameron, Vermilion, Iberia, St. Mary (Morgan City), Terrebonne, Lafourche, Jefferson, Plaquemines, St. Bernard, Orleans (New Orleans), St. Tammany.

3. MISSISSIPPI (3)

Hancock (Bay St. Louis), Harrison (Biloxi), Jackson (Pascagoula).

4. ALABAMA (2)

Mobile (Mobile), Baldwin.

5. FLORIDA (38)

Escambia (Pensacola), Santa Rosa, Okaloosa, Walton, Bay (Panama City), Gulf, Franklin (Apalachicola), Wakulla, Jefferson, Taylor, Dixie, Levy (Cedar Key), Citrus (Homosassa), Hernando, Pasco (New Port Richey), Pinellas (St. Petersburg), Hillsborough (Tampa), Manatee (Bradenton), Sarasota (Sarasota), Charlotte (Punta Gorda), Lee (Fort Myers), Collier (Naples), Monroe (Key West), Dade (Miami), Broward (Fort Lauderdale), Palm Beach (West Palm Beach), Hendry (Clewiston), Glades (Moore Haven), Okeechobee, Martin (Stuart), St. Lucie (Fort Pierce), Indian River (Vero Beach), Brevard (Cape Canaveral), Volusia (Daytona Beach), Flagler, St. Johns (St. Augustine), Duval (Jacksonville), Nassau (Fernandina Beach).

6. GEORGIA (6)

Camden, Glynn (Brunswick), McIntosh, Liberty, Bryan, Chatham (Savannah).

7. SOUTH CAROLINA (5)

Beaufort (Hilton Head), Colleton, Charleston (Charleston), Georgetown (Georgetown), Horry (Myrtle Beach).

8. NORTH CAROLINA (17)

Brunswick, New Hanover (Wilmington), Pender, Onslow, Carteret (Morehead City), Pamlico, Beaufort, Hyde, Dare (Cape Hatteras), Tyrrell, Washington, Bertie, Chowan, Perquimans, Pasquotank (Elizabeth City), Camden, Currituck.

9. VIRGINIA (15)

(NOTE: Several independent cities are listed instead of counties. See notes in Virginia table, Appendix C.)

Virginia Beach, Chesapeake (Chesapeake, Norfolk and Portsmouth Cities), Suffolk City, Isle of Wight, Surry, James City (Williamsburg City), York (Hampton City, Newport News City) Gloucester, Mathews, Middlesex, Lancaster, Northumberland, Westmoreland, Northampton, Accomack.

10. MARYLAND (14)

Worcester (Ocean City), Somerset, St. Marys, Calvert, Anne Arundel (Annapolis), Baltimore (includes Baltimore City), Harford, Cecil, Kent, Queen Annes, Talbot, Caroline, Dorchester, Wicomico.

11. DELAWARE (3)

Sussex (Rehoboth Beach), Kent, New Castle (Wilmington).

12. NEW JERSEY (10)

Salem, Cumberland, Cape May (Ocean City), Atlantic (Atlantic City), Burlington, Ocean, Monmouth (Asbury Park), Middlesex (Perth Amboy), Hudson (Jersey City), Bergen.

13. NEW YORK (8)

Richmond (Staten Island), New York (Manhattan), Kings (Brooklyn), Queens, Nassau (Jones Beach), Suffolk (Westhampton), Bronx (Bronx), Westchester.

14. CONNECTICUT (4)

New London (New London), Middlesex, New Haven, Fairfield (Bridgeport).

15. RHODE ISLAND (5)

Newport (Newport), Bristol (Bristol), Providence (Providence), Kent, Washington (Narragansett Point).

16. MASSACHUSETTS (8)


Bristol (New Bedford), Dukes (Martha's Vineyard), Nantucket (Nantucket), Barnstable (Cape Cod), Plymouth (Plymouth), Norfolk, Suffolk (Boston), Essex (Gloucester).


17. NEW HAMPSHIRE (1)


Rockingham (Portsmouth).


18. MAINE (8)


York, Cumberland (Portland), Sagadahoc, Lincoln, Knox, Waldo, Hancock, Washington (Eastport).

























END OF TEXAS COUNTIES







--1920-


T. 0861


EDITH S CARMEN


900 |


















END OF LOUISIANA PARISHES.








END OF MISSISSIPPI COUNTIES


















































































END OF SOUTH CAROLINA COUNTIES

























END OF NORTH CAROLINA COUNTIES


VIRGINIA BEACH, VA


INDEPENDENT CITY, CONSOLIDATED WITH PRINCESS ANNE COUNTY IN 1963.



CHESAPEAKE, NORFOLK and PORTSMOUTH, VA











YORK COUNTY, VA


INCLUDES THE INDEPENDENT CITIES OF NAMPTON ID NEWPORT NEWS AND THE FORMER COUNTIES OF ELIZABETH CITY AND WARWICK CITY.




































END OF MARYLAND COUNTIES








END OF DELAWARE COUNTIES














































END OF RHODE ISLAND COUNTIES



















END OF NEW HAMPSHIRE COUNTIES











APPENDIX C

A TABULAR HURRICANE CLIMATOLOGY BY COUNTIES, TEXAS TO MAINE, 1900-1982

This climatology is a convenient reference for the hurricane history of individual coastal counties as well as for states. It is apparent at a glance when any particular county was last affected by a hurricane. Also, it can be determined whether a hurricane was large or small by the number of counties affected. The severity of a hurricane, of course, is indicated by its Saffir/Simpson Number classification. Another useful feature of these tables is that the time between hurricane occurrences is readily apparent both for counties and states.

One point to keep in mind is that while some areas have not experienced a major hurricane during this century, severe hurricanes have been recorded prior to 1900. Examples of this include Savannah, Apalachicola, and New York City, as indicated below:

Savannah: It is obvious at a glance that the Georgia coast has had very few direct hits in this century. However, Savannah was devastated by a severe hurricane (possibly a category 4) in 1893.

Apalachicola: Climatology indicates that Franklin county, Florida (which includes Apalachicola), as well as five adjacent counties, has not received a direct hit by a major hurricane in this century. Here again, records indicate that severe hurricanes have affected that area prior to 1900. In the period from 1894 through 1898, three hurricanes moved within 50 miles of Apalachicola. The 1894 hurricane had winds of 120 m.p.h. - a category 3. A total of nine hurricanes moved within 100 miles of Apalachicola during the 13 year period 1886-1898.

New York City: Early records indicate that a major hurricane affected the New York City area in 1821. This hurricane possibly was as severe as the New England hurricane of 1938. However, New York City has not received a direct hit by a major hurricane during the 20th century. In fact, records indicate that the 1821 hurricane is the only major hurricane whose center passed over a part of New York City in the last 200 years.

The main point to be illustrated by the above examples of hurricanes prior to 1900 is that no particular area along the Gulf or Atlantic coast of the United States is immune to direct hits by major hurricanes, regardless of how the climatology appears to have been in recent years.

As indicated in Appendix B, dual symbols were necessary in illustrating the hurricane climatology. Arrows were used with the graphs in Appendix B, while arrows were not appropriate in a tabular presentation such as in this appendix. The key for symbols (non-arrows) is repeated below along with examples of Saffir/Simpson Scale Numbers as used in this appendix.

Key for Symbols

Plain Number - Direct Hit

() - Indirect Hit

___ - Exiting or Inland


* - Forward Speed 30 mph or Greater


Examples


- 1 Direct Hit by a Category 1 Hurricane
- (1) Indirect Hit (or fringe hit) by a Category 1 Hurricane
- Direct Hit by an Inland or Exiting (moving from land to water) Category 2 Hurricane
- 3* Direct Hit by a Category 3 Hurricane
 moving 30 mph or more
- (3*) Indirect Hit by an exiting Category 3
 Hurricane moving 30 mph or more
 - 3,2 Direct Hits by a Category 3 and a Category 2
 Hurricane in the same year, with the
 Category 3 Hurricane occurring first
- (3,1,3) Indirect Hits by Categories 3, 1 and 3
 Hurricanes in the same year. Occurrences
 were in the order listed.
 - E4 Direct Hit by a Category 4 Hurricane in the eastern part of Monroe County, Florida.

 (See example in Appendix B)

, cro	TEXAS	LA.	MISS. ALA. FLA. (N.W.)
		CHECK TOWN TOWN S. WE'T REPORTED BY THE ST. OF THE	ST. THEREIT HEREST POSCE FOR SECURITY SECRET SHELDER S
2	4 4 4 4	2 2 (2) ((2) 2 2 2 (2) 1
5 6 8 8 1 (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2)	5 5 5 (3) (5)	4 4 4 4	(3) 3 3 (3) (3) 4 (4) (4) (4)
	(4) 4 4 4 4 (4) (4)		1 1 (1) 4 (4) (4) (4)
6 (3) 3 3 3 (3) 8 9 (4) (4) 4 4 4 4 4 4 (4	(3) (3)	3 (3) (2) 2 (2)	4 (4) (4) (4) 3 3.2 (3),2 (3),2 2 2 (2) 1 (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (6)
2 2 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	2 2 (2) (2) (2)	1 1 (1)	(3) 3 3 3 (3)
6 8 9 0 0			and the second s
2 2 2 2 (2 5 — (1) 1 1 1 1 0		3 3 (3)	(1) 1 (1) 3 3
7 8 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	(2) 2 2 (3) 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 (3) (3) 3 3 3 (2) 2 2 2 (2)		
		3 3 3 3 3 1	3) (3), (3), (3).
	2 2 2 2 2 (2) (2)		
	(4) 4	4 (4)	
(4) (4) 4 4 4 (5) (4) 4 4 4	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
3 3 3 3 (3) (3)		(3) 5 (3) 5 (3) 3 3 3 (4)	
		Z Z Z (2)	
74 75 76 76 78 80 3 5 (3) (3) (3) (3)			(3) 3 3 3 (3) (5)
	•		










TABLE 5

COASTAL COUNTY POPULATION BY STATES (1980)

STATE	COUNTY	POPULATION		STATE	COUNTY	POPULATION
ТX				FL		
	Cameron	209,680			Escambia	233,794
	Willacy	17,495			Santa Rosa	55,988
	Kenedy	543			Okaloosa	109,920
	Kleberg	33,358			Walton	21,300
	Nueces	268,215			Bay	97,740
	San Patrico	58,013			Gulf	10,658
	Aransas	14,260			Franklin	7,661
	Refugio	9,289			Wakulla	10,887
	Calhoun	19,547			Jefferson	10,703
	Jackson	13,352			Taylor	16,532
	Matagorda	37,828			Dixie	7,751
	Brazoria	169,587			Levy	19,870
	Galveston	195,940			Citrus	54,703
	Harris	2,409,544			Hernando	44,469
	Chambers	18,583			Pasco	194,123
	Jefferson	250,938			Pinellas	728,409
	Orange	83,838			Hillsborough	490,265
	•				Manatee	148,442
LA					Sarasota	202,251
	Cameron	9,336			Charlotte	59,115
	Vermilion	48,458			Lee	205,266
	Iberia	63,752			Collier	85 , 791
	St.Mary	64,395			Monroe	63,098
	Terrebonne	94,393			Dade	1,625,979
	Lafourche	82,483			Broward	1,014,043
	Jefferson	454,592			Palm Beach	573,125
	Plaquemines	26,049			Hendry	18,599
	St. Bernard	64,097			Glades	5,992
	Orleans	557,482			Okeechobee	20,264
	St. Tammany	110,554			Martin	64,014
					St. Lucie	87,182
MS		•			Indian River	59,896
	Hancock	24,537			Brevard	272,959
	Harrison	157,665			Volusia	258,762
	Jackson	118,015			Flagler	10,913
\mathtt{AL}	_				St. Johns	51,303
	Mobile	364,379			Duval	570,981
	Baldwin	78,440			Nassau	32,894

TABLE 5 (Cont'd)

COASTAL COUNTY POPULATION BY STATES (1980)

State	County	Population	State	County	Population	
GA				VA (Cont'd)		
GA .	Camden	13,371	VA (CO	Isle		
	Glynn	54,981		of Wight	21,603	
	McIntosh	8,046		Surry	6,046	
	Liberty	37,583		York	302,983	
	_				•	
	Bryan Chatham	10,175		James City	32,633	
	Chatham	202,226		Gloucester	20,107	
				Mathews	7,995	
66				Middlesex	7,719	
SC	D	CF 2C4		Lancaster	10,129	
	Beaufort	65,364		Northumberla		
	Colleton	31,676		Westmoreland	•	
	Charleston	277,308		Northampton	*	
	Georgetown	42,461		Accomack	31,268	
	Horry	101,419	MD	T.7	20.000	
\ 7 .0				Worcester	30,889	
NC		22 525		Somerset	19,188	
	Brunswick	33,767		St Marys	59,895	
	New Hanover	103,471		Calvert	34,638	
	Pender	22,215		Anne Arunde		
	Onslow	112,784			1,442,390	
	Carteret	41,092		Harford	145,930	
	Pamlico	10,398		Cecil	60,430	
	Beaufort	40,266		Kent	16,695	
	Hyde	5,873		Queen Annes	-	
	Dare	13,377		Talbot	25,604	
	Tyrrell	3,975		Caroline	23,143	
	Washington	14,801		Dorchester	30,623	
	Bertie	21,024		Wicomico	64,540	
	Chowan	12,558				
	Perquimans	9,486	DE	_		
	Pasquotank	28,462		Sussex	98,044	
	Camden	5,829		Kent	98,219	
	Currituck	11,089		Newcastle	399,002	
VA		0.40 7.00				
	Princess Anne	262,199	ŊJ			
	Chesapeake,			Salem	64,676	
	Norfolk			Cumberland	132,866	
	and Portsmouth	-		Cape May	82,266	
	Suffolk City	47,621		Atlantic	194,119	

TABLE 5 (Cont'd)

Coastal County Population by States (1980)

State	County	Population	State	County	Population
NJ			ME		
	Burlington	362,542		York	139,666
	Ocean Monmouth	346,038 503,173		Cumberland Sagadahoc	215,789
	Middlesex	595,893		Lincoln	28,795 25,691
	Hudson	556,972		Knox	32,941
	Bergen	845,385		Waldo	28,414
	•	•		Hancock	41,781
NY				Washington	34,963
	Richmond	352,121			
		,427,533			
		,230,936 ,891,325			
		,321,582			
		,284,231			
		,169,115			
	Westchester	866,599			
CT					
	New London	238,409			
	Middlesex New Haven	129,017 761,337			
	Fairfield	807,143			
RI	rairrieid	007/143			
	Newport	81,383			
	Bristol	469,942			
	Providence	571,349			
	Kent	154,163			
	Washington	93,319			
MA					
1.16.8	Bristol	474,641			
	Dukes	8,942			
	Nantucket	5,087			
	Barnstable	147,925			
	Plymouth	405,437			
	Norfolk	606,587			
	Suffolk Essex	65,142 633,632			
NH	LUCA	0337032			
	Rockingham	190,345			

- NWS NHC 6 A Tropical Cyclone Data Tape for the North Atlantic Basin, 1886-1977:
 Contents, Limitations, and Uses. Brian R. Jarvinen and Eduardo L. Caso June 1978 (PB285504/AS)
- NWS NHC 7 The Deadliest, Costliest, and Most Intense United States Hurricanes of the Century (and Other Frequently Requested Hurricane Facts). Paul J. Hebert and Glenn Taylor August 1978 (PB 286753/AS)
- NWS NHC 8 Annual Data and Verification Tabulation of Atlantic Tropical Cyclones 1977.
 Miles B. Lawrence, Paul J. Hebert and Staff, NHC March 1979 (PB295702)
- NWS NHC 9 Annual Data and Verification Tabulation of Atlantic Tropical Cyclones 1978. Paul J. Hebert and Staff, NHC April 1979 (PB296323)
- NWS NHC 10 Statistical Forecasts of Tropical Cyclone Intensity for the North Atlantic Basin. Brian R. Jarvinen and Charles J. Neumann April 1979 (PB297185)
- NWS NHC 11 A Guide to Atlantic and Eastern Pacific Models for the Prediction of Tropical Cyclone Motion. Charles J. Neumann April 1979 (PB297141/AS)
- NWS NHC 12 Modification of NMC Analyses and Prognoses for Use in Statistical Tropical Cyclone Prediction Models. Preston W. Leftwich, Jr. May 1979 (PB297190)
- NWS NHC 13 Annual Data and Verification Tabulation Atlantic Tropical Cyclones 1979. Paul J. Hebert and Staff, NHC June 1980
- NWS NHC 14 A Statistical Tropical Cyclone Motion Forecasting System for the Gulf of Mexico, Robert T. Merrill August 1980
- NWS NHC 15 Annual Data and Verification Tabulation Atlantic Tropical Cyclones 1980.

 Glenn Taylor and Staff, NHC June 1981
- NWS NHC 16 A Compilation of Eastern and Central North Pacific Tropical Cyclone Data. Gail M. Brown and Preston W. Leftwich, Jr. August 1982 (PB83115444)
- NWS NHC 17 Annual Data and Verification Tabulation Atlantic Tropical Cyclones 1981. Staff, NHC - November 1982
- NWS NHC 18 The Deadliest, Costliest, and Most Intense United States Hurricanes of this Century (and Other Frequently Requested Hurricane Facts), Paul J. Hebert and Glenn Taylor, NHC January 1983 (PB83-163527)
- NWS NHC 19 Annual Data and Verification Tabulation Atlantic Tropical Cyclones 1982. Gilbert B. Clark and Staff, NHC February 1983 (PB83184077)
- NWS NHC 20 The Miss/Hit Ratio An Estimate of Reliability for Tropical Cyclone Track Predictions, Preston W. Leftwich, Jr. April 1983
- NWS NHC 21 Annual Data and Verification Tabulation Atlantic Tropical Cyclones 1983. Gilbert B. Clark and Staff, NHC January 1984.
- NWS NHC 22 A Tropical Cyclone Data Tape for the North Atlantic Basin, 1886-1983: Contents, Limitations, and Uses. Brian R. Jarvinen, Charles J. Neumann, and Mary A. S. Davis - March 1984
- NWS NHC 23 Frequency and Motion of Western North Pacific Tropical Cyclones. Zongyuan Xue and Charles J. Neumann May 1984
- NWS NHC 24 Hurricane Experience Levels of Coastal County Populations Texas to Maine June 1984

NOAA SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS

NOAA, the *National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration*, was established as part of the Department of Commerce on October 3, 1970. The mission responsibilities of NOAA are to monitor and predict the state of the solid Earth, the oceans and their living resources, the atmosphere, and the space environment of the Earth, and to assess the socioeconomic impact of natural and technological changes in the environment.

The six Major Line Components of NOAA regularly produce various types of scientific and technical information in the following kinds of publications:

PROFESSIONAL PAPERS — Important definitive research results, major techniques, and special investigations.

TECHNICAL REPORTS—Journal quality with extensive details, mathematical developments, or data listings.

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUMS — Reports of preliminary, partial, or negative research or technology results, interim instructions, and the like.

CONTRACT AND GRANT REPORTS—Reports prepared by contractors or grantees under NOAA sponsorship.

TECHNICAL SERVICE PUBLICATIONS—These are publications containing data, observations, instructions, etc. A partial listing: Data serials; Prediction and outlook periodicals; Technical manuals, training papers, planning reports, and information serials; and Miscellaneous technical publications.

ATLAS—Analysed data generally presented in the form of maps showing distribution of rainfall, chemical and physical conditions of oceans and atmosphere, distribution of fishes and marine mammals, ionospheric conditions, etc.



Information on availability of NOAA publications can be obtained from:

ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE INFORMATION CENTER
ENVIRONMENTAL DATA SERVICE
NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

3300 Whitehaven Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20235

